

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2012) (Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Employment Security Department			
 ☑ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 17-04-091 ☑ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR ☑ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1). 			
Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject) WAC 192-320-036, regarding determining tax rates for employers who are delinquent on taxes or reports.			
Hearing location(s): Employment Security Department Maple Leaf Conference Room, 2 nd Floor 212 Maple Park Avenue Olympia, WA	Submit written comments to: Name: Juanita Myers Address: Employment Security Department PO Box 9046, Olympia WA 98507 e-mail jmyers@esd.wa.gov fax (360)902-9605 by (date) June 7, 2017		
Date: <u>June 8, 2017</u> Time: <u>10:30 a.m.</u>	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact		
Date of intended adoption: June 12, 2017	Teresa Eckstein, State EO Officer by June 7, 2017		
(Note: This is NOT the effective date) Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including an	TTY 711 or (360) <u>902-9354</u> ny changes in existing rules:		
RCW 50.29.010 gives the department the authority to waive the delinquent tax rate when an otherwise qualified employer acts in good faith and application of the delinquent tax rate would be inequitable. This rule will define good faith and inequitably with a more flexible, totality of the factors approach. It also clarifies the department's authority to retroactively apply the delinquent tax rate. Reasons supporting proposal: Employers who make good faith efforts to repay and report their wages as required will not be subject to the delinquent tax rate imposed by RCW 50.29.025. The department will also consider whether application of the delinquent tax rate would be inequitable based on the totality of certain factors. Finally, the rule will eliminate confusion regarding the department's authority to retroactively apply the delinquent tax rate. Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 50.12.010 and 50.12.040 Statute being implemented: RCW 50.29.010			
otatatory authority for adoption. Re W 30.12.010 and 30.12.040	otatate being implemented. Re W 30.25.010		
Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION: Yes No Yes No Yes No	OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED DATE: April 20, 2017		
April 19, 2017	TIME: 12:28 PM		
NAME (type or print) Dale Peinecke	WSR 17-10-005		
SIGNATURE CAMBULL			
TITLE Commissioner			

Agency comments or recommendations, if armatters: None.	ny, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcen	nent, and fiscal
Name of managements (name of managements).		
Name of proponent: (person or organization) E	mployment Security Department	☑ Private☐ Public☐ Governmental
Name of agency personnel responsible for:		
Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting Scott Michael	Olympia	(360) 902-9587
ImplementationBrenda Westfall	Olympia	(360) 902-9554
Enforcement Brenda Westfall	Olympia	(360) 902-9554
	ment been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW or has a section 1, chapter 210, Laws of 2012?	a school district
fiscal impact statement been prepared under section 1, chapter 210, Laws of 2012?		
Yes. Attach copy of small business econo	omic impact statement or school district fiscal impact state	ment.
A copy of the statement may be obta	ined by contacting:	
Name: Address:		
Address.		
phone ()		
fax () e-mail		
 No. Explain why no statement was prepared. By federal law, all businesses are subject to the same tax requirements regardless of size. There is no exception for small businesses. 		
by rederar law, an outsinesses are subject to the same tax requirements regardless of size. There is no exception for sman outsinesses.		
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?		
Yes A preliminary cost-benefit analysis	may be obtained by contacting:	
Name: Juanita Myers Address:		
, tag. eee.		
phone () fax ()		
fax () e-mail		
	mpose additional costs on businesses in general, nor on singuent tax rate more flexible and equitable, which should i	
applied less frequently.		