PROPOSED RULE MAKING



CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: August 03, 2020

TIME: 3:27 PM

WSR 20-16-137

| Agency: Employment Security Department | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| □ Original Notice | | | | | | | |
| □ Supplemental Notion | ce to WSR | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| ☑ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>19-18-021</u> ; or | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or | | | | | | | |
| □ Proposal is exempt under RCW | | | | | | | |
| Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) Hours of Availability and Suitable Work: Adjusting | | | | | | | |
| the hours unemployment claimants must be available for work in order to be eligible for unemployment benefits | | | | | | | |
| and updating factors used to determine suitable work. In light of COVID-19, we are extending the comment | | | | | | | |
| period and offering a second opportunity for verbal comments. | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | |
| Hearing location(s): | | | | | | | |
| Date: | Time: | Location: (be specific) | Comment: | | | | |
| September 9, 2020 | 10:00 am | | Hearing is being held remotely due to the COVID-19 | | | | |
| | | 407-3790. When prompted for the | pandemic | | | | |
| | | Conference ID number, press 51750 and then the # key | | | | | |
| Date of intended ador | tion: Sente | mber 25, 2020 (Note: This is NO) | the effective date) | | | | |
| Submit written comm | | (Note: 11116 to 1401 | une sinestive date) | | | | |
| Name: Joshua Dye | citto to. | | | | | | |
| | 46 Olympia | WA 98507-9046 | | | | | |
| Address: P.O. Box 9046, Olympia, WA 98507-9046 Email: rules@esd.wa.gov | | | | | | | |
| Fax: 844-652-7096 | | | | | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| By (date) September 9, 2020 | | | | | | | |
| Assistance for persor | ns with disa | bilities: | | | | | |
| Contact Teresa Eckstein | | | | | | | |
| Phone: 360-507-9890 | | | | | | | |
| Fax: 360-586-4600 | | | | | | | |
| TTY: Relay 711 | | | | | | | |
| Email: teckstein@es.wa.gov | | | | | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| By (date) September 2, 2020 | | | | | | | |
| Purnose of the propo | eal and ite a | anticinated offects, including any | changes in existing rules. Under current rules | | | | |

Additionally, rulemaking is necessary to include shifts of employment as a factor used to determine suitable work, consistent

unemployment claimants must be available for work during all the usual hours and days of the week customary for their occupation. This requirement can be unobtainable for some claimants who work in 24/7 professions, especially those claimants who have family caregiving responsibilities. Rulemaking is necessary to set a more obtainable standard.

with Unemployment Insurance Program Letter No. 41-98.

Reasons supporting proposal: Current availability requirements force many Washington workers to make difficult choices between providing care necessary for family members and being available for work during unattainable days and hours. Approximately forty percent of industries in Washington are classified as having customary hours of twenty-four hours a day. seven days a week. This forces claimants to be available morning, afternoons, and overnight, even if the claimant had not previously worked shifts during those time frames. Many claimants are unable to accept work different from previous work schedules due to obligations for providing care for a child or vulnerable adult, which precludes the claimant from receiving unemployment insurance benefits. By removing the requirement that claimants be available for "all of" the customary hours of the industry, claimants are provided flexibility to receive UI benefits while the claimant searches for new suitable employment while meeting obligations for providing care to family members. Clarifying the definition of suitable work to include previous shifts of employment provides a level of protection for workers. The amended definition of suitable work removes hardships on claimants while protecting charged employers by preventing claimants from imposing undue restrictions on availability. Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 50.12.010 and RCW 50.12.040 provide general rulemaking authority to the Employment Security Department. RCW 50.12.042 provides specific rulemaking authority regarding the requirement that unemployment claimants be able to work, available for work, and actively seek work. RCW 50.20.100 provides rulemaking authority to determine what factors are used to determine suitable work. Statute being implemented: RCW 50.20.010, RCW 50.20.100 Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? ☐ Yes \boxtimes No ☐ Yes ⊠ No Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? ☐ Yes ⊠ No If yes, CITATION: Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: None. Name of proponent: (person or organization) Employment Security Department ☐ Private ☐ Public Name of agency personnel responsible for: Name Office Location Phone Scott Michael Drafting: Olympia 360-890-3448 Implementation: Julie Lord Olympia 360-890-3635 Enforcement: Olympia Julie Lord 360-890-3635 Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under RCW 28A.305.135? ☐ Yes ⊠ No If yes, insert statement here: The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting: Name: Address: Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other: Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328? ☑ Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting: Name: Joshua Dye Address: P.O. Box 9046, Olympia, WA 98507-9046 Phone: 360-890-3472 Fax:

| Т | TY: | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Е | Email: Rules@esd.wa.gov | | | | | |
| C | Other: https://esd.wa.gov/newsroom/ui-rule-making/ | | | | | |
| ☐ No: | Please explain: | | | | | |
| Regulator | y Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a Small I | Busin | ess Economic Impact Statement: | | | |
| | roposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exemp .85 RCW). Please check the box for any applicable | | | | | |
| | e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt unlely to conform and/or comply with federal statute o | | | | | |
| | | | describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not | | | |
| | d description: | | | | | |
| | e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt be | | | | | |
| - | RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this propo | | | | | |
| | e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt up a referendum. | nder ti | he provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was | | | |
| ☐ This rul | e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt u | nder F | RCW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply: | | | |
| | RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b) | | RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e) | | | |
| | (Internal government operations) | | (Dictated by statute) | | | |
| | RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c) | | RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f) | | | |
| | (Incorporation by reference) | | (Set or adjust fees) | | | |
| | RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d) | | RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g) | | | |
| | (Correct or clarify language) | | ((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process | | | |
| | | | requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit) | | | |
| ☐ This rul | e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt u | nder F | • • | | | |
| | n of exemptions, if necessary: | | ······ | | | |
| | COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON | ILY IF | NO EXEMPTION APPLIES | | | |
| If the propo | osed rule is not exempt , does it impose more-than- | minor | costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses? | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| ⊠ No | Briefly summarize the agency's analysis showing | how o | costs were calculated. | | | |
| the work employe would ir | k hours and days usual for their type of work. Each er. When considering the total denied claims sprea | claim, d acro | 22,105 claims for claimants who were not available during on average, represents \$7,285 in charged benefits to an east the state-wide employer base, the proposed rule 30.48 per year. An increase of this size is unlikely to | | | |
| | Calculations show the rule proposal likely impose iic impact statement is required. Insert statement he | | e-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business | | | |
| | public may obtain a copy of the small business eco acting: | nomic | impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by | | | |
| | lame: | | | | | |
| | Address: | | | | | |
| | Phone: | | | | | |
| F | · | | | | | |
| - | ax: | | | | | |
| | ax: TY: :mail: | | | | | |

| Date: August 3, 2020 | Signature: |
|--|------------|
| Name: Dan Zeitlin | |
| Title: Employment Security Policy Director | Pulc |